
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION**

BLENDTEC INC., a Utah corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BLENDJET, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
BLENDTEC’S MOTION TO QUASH
SUBPOENAS TO OUTSIDE
COUNSEL**

Civil No. 2:21-cv-00668-TC-DBP

Judge Tena Campbell

Magistrate Judge Dustin B. Pead

The Court, having read and considered Plaintiff Blendtec Inc.’s Motion to Quash Subpoenas to Outside Counsel Dorsey & Whitney LLP and Holland & Hart LLP, Defendant BlendJet Inc’s Response thereto, and for good cause shown, hereby rules as follows:

The Motion to Quash Subpoenas to Outside Counsel Dorsey & Whitney LLP and Holland & Hart LLP is DENIED. BlendJet is awarded its reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs incurred in responding to the Motion as provided for in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(5)(B).

It is so ordered.

DATED: December ____, 2022.

BY THE COURT:

U.S. Magistrate Judge Dustin B. Pead